

Table of Contents

Foreword to <i>Sein und Gott</i>	2
Introduction	6
1. Inadequate approaches to the question of God	17
1.1 Initial clarifications	17
1.2 Wholly unsystematic direct approaches	21
1.2.1 Pascal's wager	21
1.2.2 Hans Küng's approach based on "fundamental trust"	23
1.2.3 Alvin Plantinga: direct and immediately warranted belief in God as <i>sensus divinitatis</i>	27
1.3 Semi-systematic indirect approaches.....	47
1.3.1 The Christian tradition of metaphysics of being: (at least) six significations of "metaphysics"	48
1.3.2 Thomas Aquinas: the unreflectedness, deficiency, complexity, and inspirational power of his conceptions of being (<i>esse</i>) and God	54
1.3.2.1 A superficial, peripheral, and fully inadequate approach: the "five ways"	54
1.3.2.2 Being (<i>esse</i>) and God according to Aquinas: a significant but one-sided and undeveloped conception.....	63
1.3.2.3 Aquinas: a medieval thinker.....	70
1.3.3 Transcendental Thomism	72

1.3.4 Other examples of semi-systematic indirect approaches: Robert Spaemann, Richard Swinburne.....	76
1.4 A wholly antisystematic, antitheoretical, and direct approach: Ludwig Wittgenstein	82
1.5 A characteristic example of a failed critique: Thomas Nagel’s objections to God as “last point”	92
2. Heidegger’s thinking of being: the flawed development of a significant approach	103
2.1 Heidegger’s failed and distorting interpretation and critique of the Christian metaphysics of being.....	103
2.2 Heidegger’s four approaches to “retrieving” the “question of being”	126
2.3 What is unthought in Heidegger’s thinking of being I: being-as- <i>Ereignis</i>	134
2.4 What is unthought in Heidegger’s “thinking of being” II: being and being(s)- <i>Ereignis</i> and <i>Ereignete(s)</i>	146
2.5 The “overcoming of metaphysics” as “transformational recovering” of metaphysics and “the end of the history of being”	157
2.6 The status of Heideggerian thinking II: thinking of being as thinking within <i>Ereignis</i> , thinking that stops with <i>Ereignis</i>	167
2.7 The status of Heideggerian thinking II: absolute claim, provisionality, the poverty of language, the language of thinking, the finitude of thinking	170
2.8 Heidegger’s thinking and the topic “God”	179
2.8.1 The relation between philosophy and (Christian) theology	180

2.8.2 The relation between being/ <i>Ereignis</i> and “God”	192
2.9 Heidegger’s “thinking”: a fundamentally deficient and confused form of thinking	215
Chapter 3. The structural-systematic approach to a theory of being and God.....	227
3.1 The systematic context: the theoretical framework of the structural-systematic philosophy	229
3.1.1 General methodological aspects of the SSP’s theoretical framework.....	229
3.1.2 The dimension of structure as the core of the theoretical framework: the three levels of comprehensive structures	249
3.1.3 The fully determined status of the theoretical framework: the semantic- ontological truth theory.....	260
3.1.3.1 “Truth” as predicate and as operator	261
3.1.3.2 The central idea of truth I: the semantic dimension	264
3.1.3.2.1 The central fact about language: linguistic items require determination	264
3.1.3.2.2 The three levels of determination of language	266
3.1.3.2.3 The relations among the three levels and the role played by the semantic dimension	269
3.1.3.2.4 Informal-intuitive formulation of the central idea of truth.....	272
3.1.3.3 The central idea of truth II: the ontological import of truth as identity of proposition and fact (identity thesis).....	277

3.1.3.3a Excursus: A sketch of a semi-formalized presentation of the central idea of truth	281
3.2 The unrestricted universe of discourse as the universal dimension of primordial being	285
3.2.1 Three ways of disclosing the universal dimension of being.....	285
3.2.1.1 The first way: the universal dimension of being as the “It” of the theoretical operator or as the dimension presupposed and articulated by every theoretical sentence	286
3.2.1.2 The second way: the intentional coextensionality of the human mind with the unrestricted universe of discourse (“ <i>anima quodammodo omnia</i> ”)	293
3.2.1.3 The third way: the configuration of configurations or the most comprehensive configuration as the absolutely universal dimension of primordial being.....	298
3.2.2 The most comprehensive philosophical topic: the absolutely universal dimension of being as the dimension of being as such and as pervasive.....	303
3.2.2a Excursus 2: The inconclusive “ontological proof of God’s existence” and its implicit presuppositions: a failed attempt to articulate the primordial, universal dimension of being.....	316
3.2.3 On the concept <i>explication</i>	329
3.3 Explication of the dimension of being I: theory of being as such	333
3.4 Explication of the dimension of being II: theory of being as pervasive.....	344

3.4.1 Being and beings: a difference that is unavoidable but misunderstood and misused	345
3.4.2 Modalities as key to the explication of being as pervasive	346
3.4.3 The universal dimension of being as two-dimensional: the dimension of absolutely necessary being and the dimension of contingent being.....	355
3.5 Explication of the relation between absolutely necessary being and the contingent dimension of being as key to a conception of absolutely necessary being as minded (as personal)	365
3.5.1 Methodological considerations.....	365
3.5.2 The absolutely necessary dimension of being as absolutely necessary minded (personal) being	367
3.6 Absolutely necessary minded (personal) being as creator of the world (as creating absolute)	374
3.6.1 Misinterpretations of the idea of creation	376
3.6.2 Creation as origination-within-being of the contingent dimension of being ...	383
3.6.3 An objection.....	388
3.7 The clarified relation between being and God and the task of developing an integral theory about God	399
3.7.1 Absolutely necessary being as creative absolute and therefore as God.....	399
3.7.2 The broad expanse of the subject matter for theorization of God.....	402
3.7.3 On the question of transcendence	404

3.7.3.1 Negative theology and the doctrine of analogy.....	404
3.7.3.2 Starting points for a structural-systematic conception of God's transcendence.....	413
3.7.4 The transition from creating absolute to God of revelation as the final topic for the theory of being as such and as pervasive	418
3.7.4.1 A methodological watershed: the transition to a philosophical theory of world history and of religion(s)	419
3.7.4.2 On the relation between "philosophy" and "theology"	422
3.7.4.2.1 Clarification of an objection arising from the perspective of Christian theology.....	423
3.7.4.2.2 Some central aspects of a redetermination of the relation of "philosophy" and "theology": on the status of genuine philosophy in relation to the Christian faith and to Christian theology	430
4. Critical examination of two counterpositions: Émmanuel Lévinas and Jean-Luc Marion	450
4.1 Lévinas's misguided conception of transcendence "beyond being"	451
4.1.1 General characterization3.1 Lévinas's distorted conception of transcendence beyond essence (= being, <i>esse</i> , <i>Sein</i>)	451
4.1.2 Three highly problematic fundamental assumptions in Lévinas's philosophy..	453
4.1.2.1 Misguided conceptions of knowledge and theory.....	453
4.1.2.2 An incoherent conception of metaphysics.....	459

4.1.2.3 A fatal misconception of “being”	462
4.1.3 Lévinas’s misguided conceptions of transcendence and God as a specific form of philosophy of subjectivity	468
4.1.3.1 Total negativity: the dimension of being	468
4.1.3.2 Three misinterpreted positive features of transcendence and God.....	469
4.1.3.2.1 The idea of the Other as the Other or of the face to face.....	469
4.1.3.2.2 The idea of infinity.....	474
4.1.3.2.3 The idea of creation	477
4.1.3.3 Lévinas’s specific form of philosophy of subjectivity: extroverted subjectivity	480
4.2 Jean-Luc Marion’s failed conceptions of “radical and non-metaphysical transcendence” and of “God without being”	481
4.2.1 Preliminary remarks on Marion’s anti-metaphysical stance and on his misrepresentation of Heidegger’s “overcoming of metaphysics,” and on his retractions concerning Thomas Aquinas	482
4.2.2 Incoherences, contradictions, and topics unthought in Marion’s conception of the relation between being and God.....	500
4.2.2.1 The contradicon between “God is, exists” and “God loves before being”	501
4.2.2.2 A failed conception of the relation between being and absolute freedom .	510

4.2.2.2a Excursus 1: The historical source of Marion’s conception of absolute freedom: Schelling’s conception of the relation between God’s freedom and his existence.....	513
4.2.2.3 Marion’s reversal principle and the relation between God and human beings	516
4.2.2.4 The incoherence between “God loves before he is” and God’s “being-given”	521
4.2.2.4a Excursus 2: Remarks on the rhetorical-polemical style Marion uses in considering the problem of the relation between being and God	528
4.2.3 The fundamental failure or <i>proton pseudos</i> : Marion’s attempted transformation of Husserl’s phenomenological approach by means of the reversal of the subject-object distinction, resulting in pure phenomenality.....	530
4.2.3.1 Problems with Husserl’s phenomenological approach and Marion’s attempt to transform and complete Husserl’s project.....	531
4.2.3.2 The concepts of pure phenomenality and of the saturated phenomenon...551	
4.2.4.3 Marion’s reversal of the subject-object distinction and radicalization of the philosophy of subjectivity	578
4.2.3.3a Excursus 5: Remarks on Joeri Schrijvers’s interpretation and critique of Marion’s reversal of the subject-object distinction and on his ambiguous treatment of ontotheology	584

4.2.4 Marion’s failed “access to transcendence [to God] without condition or measure”	590
4.2.4.1 Marion’s groundless radical rejection of metaphysics (of being) based on a misinterpretation	592
4.2.4.2 The arbitrariness and ambiguity of Marion’s immediate move to “God’s standpoint”	594
4.2.4.3 The incoherence of Marion’s phenomenological usage of negative and positive concepts in talk about God	602
4.2.4.4 Marion’s failed conception of creation.....	620
4.2.4.4.1 Marion’s confused connection of the concept <i>causality</i> with the concept <i>creation</i>	620
4.2.4.4.2 Marion’s total emptying of the thought of creation	631
4.2.5 Conclusion: “The chief idolatry: the idolatry of being itself”?.....	654