

Detailed Table of Contents

Preface to <i>Struktur und Sein</i>	xviii
Preface to <i>Structure and Being</i>	xx
Key to Abbreviations and Logical/Mathematical Symbols	xxii
Introduction	1
1. Global Systematics: Determination of the Standpoint of the Structural-Systematic Philosophy	22
1.1 A Theoretical Framework for a Systematic Philosophy: The Complexity of the Concept and of Its Presentation	22
1.2 A First Determination of Systematic Philosophy	26
1.2.1 A Quasi-Definition of the Structural-Systematic Philosophy	26
1.2.2 “Theory”	27
1.2.3 “Structure”	27
1.2.4 “Unrestricted Universe of Discourse”.	30
1.2.5 “Most General or Universal Structures”	33
1.2.6 “Systematic Philosophy” and “Philosophical System”	35
1.3 Structure and Being: A First Characterization of the Basic Idea Behind the Structural-Systematic Philosophy	36
1.4 The Idealized Four-Stage Philosophical Method	41
1.4.1 The Problem of Method.	41
1.4.2 First Methodic Stage: Identification of Structures and Construction of Minimal and Informal Formulations of Theories	42
1.4.3 Second Methodic Stage: Constitution of Theories	44
1.4.4 Third Methodic Stage: Systematization of Theories.	50
1.4.5 Fourth Methodic Stage: Evaluation of the Comprehensive System or Network with Respect to Theoretical Adequacy and Truth Status	51
1.5 (Self-)Grounding of Systematic Philosophy?	52
1.5.1 On the Concept of Grounding in General	52
1.5.2 The Problem of Grounding in Philosophy.	54
1.5.2.1 On the Nonsystematic Concept of Grounding	55

1.5.2.2	The Systematic Process of Grounding and Its Forms, Stages, or Levels	64
1.5.2.3	The Process of Systematic Grounding as an Idealized Form of the Practice of Systematic Grounding	71
2.	Systematics of Theoreticity: The Dimension of Philosophical Presentation	74
2.1	Theoreticity as a Dimension of Presentation	74
2.2	Language as the Medium of Presentation for Theoreticity	76
2.2.1	Language, Communication, and Dimension of Presentation	77
2.2.2	Normal or Ordinary Language and Philosophical Language	78
2.2.3	Philosophical Language as Theoretical Language	89
2.2.3.1	The Linguistic Criterion for Theoreticity	89
2.2.3.2	Basic Features of a Program for the Development of a Systematic Philosophical Language.	94
2.2.4	The Centrality of Language to Philosophy	96
2.2.5	From the Dimension of Language to the Dimension of Knowledge: The Roles of Speaker and Subject	97
2.3	The Epistemic Dimension as the Domain of the Accomplishment of Theoreticity	99
2.3.1	On the Problem of the Epistemic Subject (or of Epistemic Subjectivity)	99
2.3.2	On the Systematic Status of the Epistemic Dimension—The Dimension of Knowledge	101
2.3.2.1	The Ambiguity of “Knowledge”	101
2.3.2.2	Knowledge as a Philosophical Problem	102
2.3.2.3	Knowledge and Cognition in Kant	108
2.3.2.4	Subjectivity and Knowledge with Respect to Systematicity	110
2.3.2.5	A Reversal of Perspective: The Indispensable but Secondary Theoretical Status of the Epistemic Dimension	117
2.4	The Dimension of Theory in the Narrower Sense	121
2.4.1	On the Proper Concept of Theory in General	121
2.4.2	The Theory-Concept in Metalogic/Metamathematics and in Philosophy of Science	121
2.4.2.1	The “Logical” Theory-Concept	121
2.4.2.2	The “Scientific” Theory-Concept I: The “Received View”	122
2.4.2.3	The “Scientific” Theory-Concept II: “Semantic Approaches”.	124
2.4.2.3.1	Bas van Fraassen’s Constructive-Empiricist Position	124
2.4.2.3.2	The Structuralistic Conception of Theory.	127
2.4.3	A Structural Theory-Concept for (Systematic) Philosophy	130
2.4.3.1	The Problematic	130

2.4.3.2	The Essential Components of a Structural Theory-Concept for Systematic Philosophy	136
2.4.3.3	The Structural Theory-Concept as Regulative, and Its Approximative-Partial Realization	138
2.5	Fully Determined Theoreticity: First Approach to a Theory of Truth	141
2.5.1	Preliminary Questions	141
2.5.1.1	The Word “Truth” and the Problem of the Concept of Truth	141
2.5.1.2	Substantialism and Deflationism	144
2.5.1.3	“Truth” as Predicate and as Operator	145
2.5.1.4	Comprehensive Theory of Truth and Subtheories of Truth	147
2.5.2	The Basic Idea of Truth.	148
2.5.2.1	The Fundamental Fact About Language: Linguistic Items Require Determination	148
2.5.2.2	The Three Levels of Semantic Determination	149
2.5.2.3	The Interconnection of the Three Levels: The Explicitly Semantic Dimension as Fundamental	152
2.5.2.4	Informal-Intuitive Formulation of the Fundamental Idea of Truth	153
3.	Systematics of Structure: The Fundamental Structures	155
3.1	What Is the Systematics of Structure?	155
3.1.1	The Basic Idea	155
3.1.2	Preliminary Clarifications of Terms and Concepts.	158
3.1.2.1	“Concept,” “Meaning,” “Sense,” “ <i>Bedeutung</i> ,” “Semantic Value,” “Thought,” “Proposition,” “State of Affairs”	158
3.1.2.2	“Object,” “Property,” “Relation,” “Fact,” and Other Entities	163
3.1.2.3	“Category”	164
3.1.3	The Systematic-Architectural Status in Philosophy of the Expanded Concept of Structure	167
3.1.4	The Program of a Philosophical Systematics of Structure.	168
3.1.5	The Status of Language and Semantics Within the Systematics of Structure	170
3.2	The Three Levels of Fundamental Structures	172
3.2.1	Formal Structures	172
3.2.1.1	Logic, Mathematics, and Philosophy	172
3.2.1.2	Mathematical Structures	175
3.2.1.3	Logical Structures	178
3.2.2	Semantic Primary Structures	183
3.2.2.1	General Characterization	183

3.2.2.2	The Decisive Option: Ontologically Oriented Semantics for Philosophical Language	185
3.2.2.3	Critique of the Semantics and Ontology That Are Based on the Principle of Compositionality	186
3.2.2.3.1	Basic Features of Compositional Semantics: Compositional Semantic Structures	186
3.2.2.3.2	Critique of Compositional Semantics and Ontology: The Unacceptability of Substance as Fundamental Ontological Category	190
3.2.2.3.2.1	Substance Ontology and Its Alternatives in Contemporary Philosophy	190
3.2.2.3.2.2	The Root Problem with All Conceptions of Substance	193
3.2.2.3.2.3	Quine's Procedure for the Elimination of Singular Terms: An Insufficient Means for Accomplishing a Philosophical Revolution	195
3.2.2.4	Basic Features of a Semantics Based on a Strong Version of the Context Principle	199
3.2.2.4.1	A Strong Version of the Semantic Context Principle	199
3.2.2.4.1.1	Incompatibility of the Context Principle and the Principle of Compositionality	200
3.2.2.4.1.2	Basic Features of and Requirements for a Strong Version of the Context Principle	201
3.2.2.4.1.3	The Problem of Identity Conditions for Primary Propositions (and Primary Facts).	203
3.2.2.4.2	The Concept of Contextual Semantic Structure: Primary Propositions as Semantic Primary Structures	207
3.2.3	Ontological Structures	208
3.2.3.1	Definition of Ontological Primary Structures (Primary Facts)	208
3.2.3.2	Simple Primary Facts as Simple Ontological Primary Structures	209
3.2.3.3	Forms of Configuration as Ontological Structures	214
3.2.3.3.1	On the Relation Between Logical/ Mathematical Structures and Ontological Structures	215
3.2.3.3.2	Configurations and Propositional Logic	216
3.2.3.3.3	Configurations and First-Order Predicate Logic	218

3.2.3.3.4	Forms of Configurations: Expansions of Classical Logic and the Multiplicity of Mathematical Structures	222
3.3	Theory of Truth as Explication (Articulation) of the Fully Determinate Connections Among Fundamental Structures	222
3.3.1	A More Precise Characterization of the Basic Idea of Truth	223
3.3.2	The So-Called “Truth-Bearers” and the Fundamental Structures	226
3.3.3	Truth as Composition of Three Functions: The Tristructural Syntactic-Semantic-Ontological Connection	227
3.3.3.1	The Syntactic-Semantic Dimension: A “Cataphoric” Theory	227
3.3.3.2	The Semantic-Ontological Dimension: The Identity Thesis	231
3.3.3.2.1	The Fully Determined Semantic Status of Language and the Ontological Dimension	231
3.3.3.2.2	The Ontological Import of Truth as Identity of Proposition and Fact (the Identity Thesis)	232
3.3.3.2.3	The Ontology of Primary Facts as the Ontology Appropriate to the Structural Truth-Theory	235
3.3.4	Three Concluding Questions	236
3.3.4.1	Starting Points for a Theory of Falsity	237
3.3.4.2	On the Ontological Import of the Truth of Formal (Logical and Mathematical) Propositions or Structures	239
3.3.4.3	A Moderate Relativism with Respect to Truth	241
4.	World-Systematics: Theory of the Dimensions of the World	246
4.1	The Concept of World	247
4.1.1	World, Universe of Discourse, and Being as a Whole	247
4.1.2	The Most Important Domains or Subdimensions of the Actual World	249
4.2	The “Natural World”	250
4.2.1	Is a Philosophy of the Natural World at all Possible?	251
4.2.1.1	An Instructive Example: The Philosophical Incoherence of Quine’s Attempted Reconciliation of “Naturalism” and “Global Ontological Structuralism”.	251
4.2.1.2	The Interdependence of Philosophy and the Natural Sciences	257
4.2.2	Major Tasks and Global Theses of a Philosophy of the Natural World Connected to the Natural Sciences	260
4.2.2.1	The Categorial-Structural Constitution of the Natural World	261
4.2.2.2	The Natural World and the Plurality of Domains of Being(s): The “Ontological Difference”.	262

4.3	The Human World	263
4.3.1	Philosophical Anthropology, or Philosophy of Mind	263
4.3.1.1	What is an Individual, Categorially/Structurally Considered?	263
4.3.1.2	The Individual Human Being as Person	264
4.3.1.2.1	On the Problematic of the Adequate Formal Articulation of the Concept of Configuration	265
4.3.1.2.2	Is “Configuration” the Adequate Ontological Structure of the Individual Human Being as Person?	269
4.3.1.2.2.1	A Fundamental Systematic- Methodological Consideration	270
4.3.1.2.2.2	The Elements of the Configuration Constituting the Human Individual	273
4.3.1.2.2.3	The Unifying Point as the Factor Configuring the Configuration	275
4.3.1.2.2.4	Intentionality and Self-Consciousness	278
4.3.1.2.3	Is the Human Individual or Person Explicable Materialistically/ Physicalistically?	282
4.3.1.2.3.1	On the Current Discussion.	282
4.3.1.2.3.2	An Argument Against Physicalism.	287
4.3.2	Moral Action and Moral Values (Ethics)	290
4.3.2.1	On the Theoretical Character of Ethical Sentences	291
4.3.2.1.1	The Ambiguity of “Practical Philosophy” and of “Normative Ethics”	291
4.3.2.1.2	Primarily Practical, Theoretical-Deontic, and Theoretical-Valuative Sentences	293
4.3.2.2	The Ontological Dimension of Ethical Truth: Ontological Values.	296
4.3.2.3	The Distinction Between Basal-Ontological Values and Moral-Ontological Values	298
4.3.2.4	The Ontological Status of Basal-Ontological Values	300
4.3.2.4.1	The General-Metaphysical Perspective	300
4.3.2.4.2	The Metaphysical-Anthropological Perspective	301
4.3.2.5	The Ontological Status of Moral-Ontological Values	303
4.4	The Aesthetic World	305
4.4.1	The Three Central Logical-Semantic Forms of Aesthetic Sentences.	306
4.4.2	The Universal Aesthetic Dimension: Beauty as Fundamental Concept	314

4.4.3	The Specific Dimension of Art	318
4.4.4	Two Objections.	322
4.5	The World as a Whole	324
4.5.1	Natural-Scientific Cosmology.	324
4.5.2	The Phenomenon of the Religious and the Plurality of Religions: The Necessity of a Philosophical Interpretation . . .	329
4.5.3	World History	332
4.5.3.1	Philosophy of World History and the Science of History.	333
4.5.3.2	The Ontology of World History.	334
4.5.3.3	Does World History Have an Inner Structure?	340
4.5.3.4	Does World History Have a Meaning?	342
4.5.3.4.1	Preliminary Clarifications.	342
4.5.3.4.2	Reasons for the Necessity of a Comprehensively Systematic Theory of World History.	345
4.5.3.4.3	Presuppositions for a Comprehensively Systematic Theory That Clarifies the Meaning of World History	350
5.	Comprehensive Systematics: The Theory of the Interconnection of All Structures and Dimensions of Being as Theory of Being as Such and as a Whole	357
5.1	The Philosophical Status of Comprehensive Systematics	357
5.1.1	Comprehensive Systematics as Structural Metaphysics	357
5.1.2	The Primary Obstacle to the Development of a Comprehensive Systematics as Structural Metaphysics	359
5.1.2.1	The Problem of the Gap Putatively Separating the Theorist from Reality as It Is “In Itself”	360
5.1.2.2	Examples of Failed Attempts to Solve the Problem of the Putative Gap	361
5.1.3	Comprehensive Clarification of the Problem of the Putative Gap as Starting Point for a Theory of Comprehensive Systematics: Four Fundamental Theses	369
5.1.3.1	Thesis One: The Appropriate Form of Presentation for the Structural-Systematic Philosophy Requires Sentences in the Purely Theoretical Form	369
5.1.3.2	Thesis Two: Semantics and Theories of Beings and of Being are Fundamentally Interrelated	370
5.1.3.3	Thesis Three: Expressibility Is a Factor Fundamental to the Structurality of Beings and of Being	371
5.1.3.4	Thesis Four: Philosophical Languages Are Languages of Presentation.	371
5.1.4	The Adequate Concept of Theoretical-Philosophical Language . . .	371
5.1.4.1	Language, Communication, and Presentation	372

5.1.4.2	The Fundamental Criterion for the Determination of the Basic Structures of an Adequately Clarified Philosophical Language	373
5.1.4.3	Philosophical Language as Semiotic System with Uncountably Many Expressions	374
5.1.4.3.1	The Realism/Anti-Realism Debate as a Dead End: Reasons and Consequences	374
5.1.4.3.2	An Essential Presupposition for the Universal Expressibility of the World (of Being): Theoretical Languages with Uncountably Many Expressions	378
5.1.4.3.2.1	The Possibility in Principle of Semiotic Systems with Uncountably Many Signs/Expressions	378
5.1.4.3.2.2	A Fundamental Problem: Language and “Tokening System” (the Position of Hugly and Sayward)	380
5.1.4.3.2.3	The Status of Tokening Systems for Theoretical Languages	384
5.1.4.3.3	The Segmental Character of Effective Theoretical Languages	387
5.1.4.4	Are There Uncountably Many Entities?	392
5.1.4.5	Is Philosophical or Scientific Language a Purely Human Production? Or What, Ultimately, Is (a) Language?	394
5.1.5	The Plurality of Languages: Its Ontological Interpretation, and Several Consequences	397
5.1.5.1	In What Sense and on What Basis Is There a Plurality of (Theoretical) Languages?	397
5.1.5.2	The Ontological Ramifications of the Plurality of Theoretical Languages.	398
5.1.5.2.1	On Various Approaches to the Problem	398
5.1.5.2.2	A Suggested Three-Step Solution to the Problem	401
5.1.5.2.2.1	First Step: The Ontologization of the Theoretical Sphere	402
5.1.5.2.2.2	Second Step: Changing the Focus of the (Philosophical/Scientific) Perspective from Subjectivity to Being (Nature, the World)	402
5.1.5.2.2.3	Third Step: Three Pairs of Concepts as Criteria for Judging the Strength or Weakness of the Ontological Adequacy of Theoretical Frameworks	405
5.1.6	Summary: Comprehensive Systematics as Universal Theory	411

5.2 Basic Features of a Theory of Being as Such and as a Whole	413
5.2.1 What is Being as Such and as a Whole?	413
5.2.2 Talk of “the Whole (the Totality)”: Semantics, Logic/Mathematics, and Philosophy	421
5.2.3 The Primordial Dimension of Being, the Actual World, and the Plurality of Possible Worlds.	431
5.2.4 The Inner Structurality of the Primordial Dimension of Being: The Most Universal Immanent Characteristics.	436
5.3 Starting Points for a Theory of Absolute Being.	441
5.3.1 Preliminary Clarifications	441
5.3.2 The Decisive Step: The Primordial Difference with Respect to Being as the Difference Between the Absolutely Necessary and the Contingent Dimensions of Being	443
5.3.3 Additional Remarks and Clarifications	446
5.3.4 Additional Steps in the Explication of the Absolutely Necessary Dimension of Being	451
6. Metasystematics: Theory of the Relatively Maximal Self-Determination of Systematic Philosophy	461
6.1 The Status of Metasystematics	461
6.1.1 Metasystematics and Metaphilosophy.	461
6.1.2 The Metasystematic Self-Determination of the Structural- Systematic Philosophy and the Criterion of Relatively Maximal Intelligibility and Coherence	463
6.2 Immanent Metasystematics	467
6.2.1 What is Immanent Metasystematics?	467
6.2.2 Three Aspects of Immanent Metasystematics	467
6.3 External Metasystematics.	469
6.3.1 What Is External Metasystematics?	469
6.3.2 External Intratheoretical Metasystematics	470
6.3.2.1 External Intratheoretical Interphilosophical Metasystematics	470
6.3.2.2 External Intratheoretical Philosophical- Nonphilosophical Metasystematics	477
6.3.3 Extratheoretical Metasystematics	480
6.4 Self-Determination, Metasystematics, and the Self-Grounding of the Structural-Systematic Philosophy	481
Works Cited.	485
Index.	499